Acta Crystallographica Section C

## Crystal Structure

Communications
ISSN 0108-2701

## Bis( $\mu$-pyridine-2-thiolato)- $\kappa^{4} S: N ; N: S$ -bis[(pyridine-2-thiolato- $\kappa S$ )(2-pyridyl-phenyl- $\kappa^{2} N, C^{2}$ )platinum(III)](Pt%E2%80%94Pt): the non-solvated and acetonitrilesolvated forms

## Tamami Koshiyama and Masako Kato*

Division of Materials Science, Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences, Nara Women's University, Nara 630-8506, Japan
Correspondence e-mail: kato@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp

Received 17 December 2004
Accepted 14 February 2005
Online 11 March 2005
The title dinuclear platinum(III) complex, $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NS}\right)_{4}\right]$, forms two crystal structures, viz. the non-solvated and acetonitrile-solvated $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ forms. For both forms, two (2-pyridylphenyl)platinum units are bridged by two pyri-dine-2-thiolate (pyt) anions in a head-to-tail configuration, and the other two pyridine-2-thiolate anions occupy the axial position, coordinated through their S atoms. The most remarkable difference between the two forms is the orientation of the axial monodentate ligands. Those for the solvated form are located over the 2-pyridylphenyl ligands, being related by a twofold axis which lies through the centre of the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond, while the axial pyt ligands for the non-solvated form are oriented irregularly, which is attributable to the dimeric arrangement in the crystal.

## Comment

We recently reported a luminescent dinuclear platinum(II) complex, $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$ (where Hppy is 2-phenylpyridine and Hpyt is pyridine-2-thiol; Koshiyama et al., 2004). Because of the strong ligand field of the ppy ligand, this platinum complex was found to have a short $\mathrm{Pt} \cdots \mathrm{Pt}$ distance [2.8491 (4) Å] for the divalent state of platinum and could be oxidized easily. For example, the divalent complex formed the trivalent complex $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$ immediately on dissolution in chloroform. We then investigated the redox properties of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$ and the possibility of the formation of trivalent complexes with various axial ligands. In the course of the study, the pyt ligand itself was found to act as an axial ligand. This compound is remarkable for containing such an asymmetric ligand as both bridging and terminal ligands, although various dinuclear platinum(III) complexes with bridging ligands have been reported (Umakoshi \& Sasaki, 1993; Roundhill et al., 1989; Lippard, 1982). We report here the title trivalent complex, $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{4}\right]$, which could be
produced either by heating the reaction solution at 343 K or in the presence of an excess of pyt ligand. Two crystal structures, a non-solvated form, (I), and an acetonitrile-solvated form, (II), were found.

(I)

(II)

Fig. 1 shows the molecular structure of (I). As in the case of the $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$ complexes, only the anti form, with a head-to-tail configuration of the bridging pyt ligands, was produced for this dinuclear complex, because the strong trans influence of the deprotonated C atom in ppy prefers the N atom to the S atom of the pyt ligand at the trans position (Koshiyama et al., 2004).

The $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}$ bond distances of (I) are comparable with those reported for other cyclometallated platinum complexes containing ppy (1.98-2.03 A; Chassot et al., 1984; Mdleleni et al., 1995; Yamaguchi et al., 2004). The pyt ligands at the axial


Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii.
sites are coordinated to the respective Pt cations by the $\mathrm{S}^{-}$ anions. The orientation is likely to be regulated by a dimeric interaction, as shown in Fig. 2. Two complexes related by an inversion centre form a $\pi-\pi$ stack of pyt ligands, with an interplanar spacing of 3.52 (1) $\AA$.

There are hydrogen bonds (Table 2) between the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ groups in the aromatic rings and the S or N atoms in the axial pyt ligands. As a result, the pyt ligand containing atom S 4 is oriented in the opposite direction to the $\pi-\pi$ stack, whereas the pyt ligand at the other axial site is located over the ppy ligand. The large displacements for the axial pyridine rings indicate that the monodentate ligands fluctuate easily.

For compound (II), on the other hand, a completely different orientation of the axial pyt ligands was found, as shown in Fig. 3. In this case, both axial pyt ligands are located over the ppy ligands. The complex molecule in (II) has a twofold axis passing through the centre of the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ bond. An acetonitrile solvent molecule was found to be disordered, forming hydrogen bonds (Table 4) with the ppy ligands.

Some geometric characteristics for (II) can be seen in connection with the arrangement of the axial pyt ligands. The dihedral angle between two ppy ligands for (II) is much smaller than that for (I) [21.0 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ for (I), cf. 11.7 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ for (II)]. The interplanar spacings, defined as the average distance of the atoms in one ppy from the least-squares plane of the other, are similar [ 3.4 (1) $\AA$ for (I) and 3.30 (7) $\AA$ for (II)]. These values indicate that the axial pyt ligands in (II) effectively stabilize the stacking of the ppy ligands. In fact, the dihedral angle and the interplanar spacing between the ppy ligand and the adjacent axial pyt ligand in (II) are 17.3 (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ and 3.3 (1) $\AA$, respectively, suggesting $\pi-\pi$ interactions through a pyt-ppy-


Figure 2
The dimeric arrangement of (I). Atoms labelled with a prime are at the symmetry position $(1-x, 1-y,-z)$.


Figure 3
The molecular structure of (II), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level, except those for the solvated acetonitrile, which are drawn as ideal spheres of arbitrary radii. H atoms are also shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. The disordered atoms of the acetonitrile molecule are shown as open circles. [Symmetry code: (i) $-x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z$.]
ppy-pyt ordering of ligands. The smaller $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ angle at the axial positions for (II) [103.7 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ ] compared with those for (I) $\left[109.7\right.$ (3)-112.1 (4) $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right]$ also supports these interactions.

For (I) and (II), the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distances of 2.6514 (5) and 2.6650 (2) $\AA$, respectively, are normal for a $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{III}}$ single bond but slightly longer than those for $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{4}\right]$ and its analogues held by four bridging pyt ligands: $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}=$ 2.532 (1) $\AA$ in cis- $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{4}\right], 2.539$ (1) $\AA$ in trans- $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}(4-\right.$ $\mathrm{mpyt}_{4}$ ] (4-mpyt is the 4-methylpyridine-2-thiolate anion) and $2.566(2) \AA$ in cis- $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{CN})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{4}\right]$ (Umakoshi \& Sasaki, 1993). In addition, it is interesting to note that the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distances for (I) and (II) are also slightly longer than that in $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right][\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}=2.615(1) \AA$; Koshiyama et al., 2004]. The coordination geometries of the equatorial planes for (I) and (II) are very similar to that for $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$. Thus, the difference in the $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{Pt}$ distances could be attributed to the trans influence of the axial ligands, with the pyt ligand stronger than $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$.

## Experimental

$\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\right.$ ppy $\left.)\right]$ was prepared according to the literature method of Mdleleni et al. (1995). The preparation of the dinuclear $\mathrm{Pt}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$ was reported previously (Koshiyama et al., 2004). The trivalent complex $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{4}\right]$ was prepared in two different ways. The brown powder of (I) was produced by stirring an acetonitrile-ethanol solution ( $8: 2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ) of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$ $(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Hpyt ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature for 2 d (yield 81 mg ). The crude product contained ca $25 \%$ of unoxidized $\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})_{2}(\mathrm{pyt})_{2}\right]$, according to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. Brown needle-shaped crystals of (I) were obtained by recrystalliza-
tion from an acetonitrile solution. The dark-red-purple powder of (II) was precipitated by the reaction of $\left(\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\left[\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(\mathrm{ppy})\right](342 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.52 mmol ) and Hpyt ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 0.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in water ( 60 ml ) at 343 K for 3 d (yield $77 \mathrm{mg}, 26 \%$ ). Recrystallization from acetonitrile gave dark-red prismatic crystals of (II). Both (I) and (II) exhibited essentially the same ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 6.33(t, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.65$ $(t, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(t, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(d, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(t, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(d, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(b r$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(t, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(d, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(t, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(t, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.47(d, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $8.50(b r, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## Compound (I)

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NS}\right)_{4}\right]$
$M_{r}=1139.18$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=11.3534$ (2) $\AA$
$b=12.3455$ (2) $\AA$
$c=15.3328$ (4) $\AA$
$\alpha=67.918$ (9) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\beta=84.242$ (13) ${ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma=69.034(10)^{\circ}$
$V=1858.1$ (2) $\AA^{3}$

## Data collection

Rigaku Mercury CCD
diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: numerical
(NUMABS; Higashi, 1999)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.284, T_{\text {max }}=0.733$
14354 measured reflections

## Refinement

```
Refinement on \(F^{2}\)
\(R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.048\)
\(w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.085\)
\(S=1.17\)
8029 reflections
488 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
```

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},^{\circ}\right)$ for (I).

| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $2.6514(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $2.306(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $2.305(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{S} 4$ | $2.466(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 3$ | $2.479(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.066(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.066(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $2.184(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $2.189(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $2.004(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $2.017(8)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $93.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $86.69(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{C} 12$ | $175.06(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $87.21(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{S} 4$ | $80.88(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $167.57(4)$ | $\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $174.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $97.7(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $88.5(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $96.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $95.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $86.1(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $109.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $86.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 3-\mathrm{C} 33$ | $112.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 2$ | $94.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 2-\mathrm{S} 4-\mathrm{C} 38$ |  |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,^{\circ}$ ) for (I).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{H} 19 \cdots \mathrm{~S} 4^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.93 | 2.76 | $3.60(1)$ | 150 |
| $\mathrm{C} 26-\mathrm{H} 26 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 5^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.93 | 2.76 | $3.54(1)$ | 142 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $1-x, 1-y,-z$; (ii) $-x,-y, 1-z$.

## Compound (II)

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Pt}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NS}\right)_{4}\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$M_{r}=1180.23$
Monoclinic, C2/c
$a=16.390$ (1) A
$b=15.5766(9) \AA$
$c=17.534(2) \AA$
$\beta=118.199$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=3945.3(5) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$
$D_{x}=1.987 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Data collection
Rigaku Mercury CCD
diffractometer
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: numerical
(NUMABS; Higashi 1999)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.148, T_{\text {max }}=0.268$
15006 measured reflections
4432 independent reflections
Refinement
Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.031$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.074$
$S=1.09$
4432 reflections
255 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 6621
reflections
$\theta=3.6-27.5^{\circ}$
$\mu=7.31 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=173.1 \mathrm{~K}$
Prism, dark red
$0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.18 \mathrm{~mm}$

3887 reflections with $F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.028$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=27.5^{\circ}$
$h=-21 \rightarrow 21$
$k=-19 \rightarrow 20$
$l=-22 \rightarrow 22$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w=1 /[ \sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}\right)+(0.0329 P)^{2} \\
&+33.7943 P] \\
& \text { where } P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}\right) / 3 \\
&(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.007 \\
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=3.15 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-1.59 \mathrm{e}^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 3
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (II).

| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $2.6650(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $2.072(4)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $2.301(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $2.180(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $2.492(2)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $2.011(4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $87.20(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $96.0(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $172.21(4)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $94.4(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{PP} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $93.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $93.2(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $87.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 2$ | $84.6(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $95.5(1)$ | $\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{C} 17$ | $103.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $85.05(5)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $95.7(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $176.3(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $80.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{S} 1$ | $88.0(1)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{Pt} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | $175.2(1)$ |
| Symmetry code: $(\mathrm{i})-x, y, \frac{1}{2}-z$. |  |  |  |

Table 4
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\mathrm{A}^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ) for (II).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C5-H5 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 4$ | 0.93 | 2.57 | $3.38(3)$ | 146 |
| C14-H14 $\cdots \mathrm{N} 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.93 | 2.48 | $3.36(1)$ | 157 |
| Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{3}{2}-y, 1-z$ |  |  |  |  |
| $l$ |  |  |  |  |

For both (I) and (II), large ratios of $U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\max ) / U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{min})$ for C and N atoms were observed because of the fluctuation of the axial pyt ligands. The possibility of rotational disorder of the axial pyt ligands was rejected because of the steric factors of the packing. Other assignments of the N atoms would cause steric hindrance of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ moiety. The acetonitrile solvent molecule in (II) was found to be disordered, with the two components related by a twofold axis. The two possible sites share the terminal C23 atom, which lies on the

## metal-organic compounds

twofold axis. The non-H atoms of the solvent molecule were refined isotropically. The H atoms of the methyl group (C23) of the acetonitrile solvent molecule were positioned geometrically as two disordered sites having equal occupancies of 0.5 without further refinement. All other H atoms were treated as riding, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.93 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. For $(\mathrm{I})$, the deepest hole of residual density was found $0.86 \AA$ from atom Pt1. For (II), peaks of 3.15 and $2.24 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ and a hole of $-1.59 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}$ were observed $0.78,1.23$ and $0.73 \AA$ from atoms C5, N4 and Pt1, respectively.

For both compounds, data collection: CrystalClear (Molecular Structure Corporation \& Rigaku, 2001); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalStructure (Rigaku/MSC, 2004). Program(s) used to solve structure: DIRDIF99 (Beurskens et al., 1992) for (I); SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997) for (II). For both compounds, program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: CrystalStructure.
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OB1216). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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